| 1 | BRODSKY AND SMITH LLC | JS-6 |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352) esmith@brodsky-smith.com | J S- 0 |
| 3 | 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 | |
| 4 | Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 7 | Sunanda Krishna | |
| | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | SOUTHERN DISTRI | CI OF CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | SUNANDA KRISHNA, on behalf of | Case No. 2:17-cv-01840-R-AFM |
| 12 | himself and all others similarly situated, | Assigned To Hon. Manuel L. Real |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION |
| 14 | v. | OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL |
| 15 | IXIA, ERROL GINSBERG, BETHANY | PROCEDURE 41(a) |
| 16 | MAYER, LAURENT ASSCHER, | Action Filed: March 8, 2017 |
| 17 | JONATHAN FRAM, GAIL HAMILTON, ILAN DASKAL, | Trial Date: None Set |
| 18 | KEYSIGHT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and KEYSIGHT ACQUISITIONS, | |
| 19 | INC., | |
| 20 | Defendants. | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | /// | |
| 23 | /// | |
| 24 | /// | |
| 25 | /// | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| 20 | | |

ORDER

2.7

Having considered the Stipulation of Dismissal submitted by Plaintiff Sunanda Krishna and Defendants, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Action is dismissed, and all claims asserted therein are dismissed with prejudice as to Plaintiff only. All claims on behalf of the putative class are dismissed without prejudice.
- 2. Because the dismissal is with prejudice as to Plaintiff only, and not on behalf of a putative class, notice of this dismissal is not required.
- 3. The Court retains jurisdiction of the Action solely for the purpose of determining Plaintiff's forthcoming Fee Application, if such Fee Application becomes necessary.
- 4. This Order is entered without prejudice to any right, position, claim or defense any party may assert with respect to the Fee Application, which includes the Defendants' right to oppose the Fee Application.
- 5. To the extent that the parties are unable to reach an agreement concerning the Fee Application, they may contact the Court regarding a schedule and hearing to present such application to the Court.
- 6. Upon completion of briefing, the parties shall promptly contact the Court to schedule argument regarding Plaintiff's Fee Application at a time convenient to the Court.
- 7. This action is removed from the Court's active caseload until further application by the parties or Order of this Court. All pending dates are vacated by the Court. If the parties reach an agreement concerning the Fee Application, they will notify the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 19, 2017

Honorable Manuel L. Real United States District Judge